

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,241	02/27/2002	Li Hao	2250.09US01	2030
24113	7590 06/28/2005		EXAMINER	
PATTERSON, THUENTE, SKAAR & CHRISTENSEN, P.A. 4800 IDS CENTER 80 SOUTH 8TH STREET MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-2100			LU, JIA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2634	
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/084,241	HAO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jia W. Lu	2634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed  will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.  (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 F</u>	ebruary 2002.	<b>:</b>				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the ments is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.		:				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	wir from consideration.	: :				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	: ·				
	,	· :				
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
<del></del>	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_		÷				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	d. :				
222 2	2 22 34 25piss not 1000110					
		:				
Attachment(s)		. :				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da	PTO-413) te.				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/084,241

Art Unit: 2634

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,088,347.
  - a. Regarding claim 1, 3 and 4, patent '347 discloses a two-level variable chip rate CDMA system (column 4, line 3-5) comprising a transmitter applying a first and a second level spreading to the data information, where each spreading gain is the respective length of the spreading code (column 4, line 5-19). While the receiver (fig. 1, element 13) detail is not shown, it is obvious that the receiver for a two-level CDMA system must decode in a way that reverses the transmitting process. Thus it is obvious that the

Application/Control Number: 10/084,241

Art Unit: 2634

second level spreading be despread before the first level spreading is despread. The process of integration over a chip width in the course of dispreading in a receiver is inherent. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one ordinarily skilled in the art to include a receiver system that operates in a way that reverses the spreading process in the transmitter disclosed in patent '347, in order to systematically despread the various spreading codes.

- b. Regarding claim 2, patent '347 shows each gain of the spreading system to be the length of each spreading code (column 4, 11-12), and the total gain of the two-level spreading system to be the combination of the two gains (column 4, line 5). Note that the combination technique used in patent '347 (column 2, line 46) represents multiplication (column 2, lines 29-34).
- 2. Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,088,347 as applied to claims 1, 3 and 4 above, further in view of PCT application WO 00/45530.
  - a. Regarding claim 5, although patent '347 does not teach the use of ZCZ sequences to be used in a two-level CDMA system, the use of ZCZ, including where ZCZ=1, has already been thoroughly disclosed by applicant in application '530 to be used in spreading and despreading functions in CDMA systems (page 16, line 1 to page 17, line 3). It would have been obvious for one ordinarily skilled in the art to use ZCZ as a

Art Unit: 2634

common code in spreading and despreading to reduce common channel interference and allow flexible width adjustments.

b. Regarding claims 6-8, patent '347 teaches the use of Walsh code as a common code (column 6, line 68), orthogonal sequence as a channel code (column 6, line 68), and PN code as a common code (column 4, line 6). While the patent does not disclose the use of ZCZ as either code, application '530 teaches the use of ZCZ (including ZCZ=1) as both common code and channel code (page 16, line 1 to page 17, line 3). The reason to combine is stated above in part a.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jia W. Lu whose telephone number is 571-272-6042.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon- Fri, 10:30AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on (571)272-3056. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2634

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jia Lu Examiner

STEPHEN CHIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMIN
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800